



Goal-driven Command Recommendations for Analysts

Samarth Aggarwal Rohin Garg Abhilasha Sancheti **Bhanu Prakash Reddy Guda*** Iftikhar Ahamath Burhanuddin IIT Delhi IIT Kanpur Adobe Research **Adobe Research** Adobe Research

#AdobeRemix Vasjen Katro / Baugasm There has been a tremendous growth in the domain of data analysis as the volume of data has increased



Demand for systems to query, analyse and draw inferences with a low lat



Research Question

How to guide the analyst with his/her workflow based on input goal information?

Recommender System

- Act as a primary filter for options that are completely irrelevant.
- A Guide for a novice user.

Guide towards the insights that the analyst needs.

Improve performance of recommender system with help of goal information

Recommendations should be relevant to the analyst's goal



Steer the recommendations whenever the analyst is deviating from the goal

Related Work

- The concept of goals in process mining, web mining, education, and HCI.
- Definition of a goal A set of target tasks or subtasks at the focus of a user's attention^[1]
- Liu, Xumin ^[2] probabilistic suffix trees (PST) for modelling workflows; topic modelling to determine the workflows or tasks.
- Nambhi, Aadhavan M., et al. ^[3] proposed neural network architecture to predict next command in the sequence.

[1] Horvitz, Eric J., et al. "The Lumiere project: Bayesian user modeling for inferring the goals and needs of software users." *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence, July 1998.*

[2] Liu, Xumin. "Unraveling and learning workflow models from interleaved event logs." 2014 IEEE International Conference on Web Services. IEEE, 2014.

[3] Nambhi, Aadhavan M., et al. "Stuck? No worries! Task-aware Command Recommendation and Proactive Help for Analysts." *Proceedings of the 27th ACM Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization*. 2019.

Workflow of our approach





Dataset

- A web-analytics system used to track, report, analyze, and visualize web traffic.
- User interface clicks classified into two categories
 - Software Commands Ex. Opening, loading or saving a spreadsheet, changing the color of a

cell.

• Data Commands - Ex. sorting a data column in an increasing or decreasing order.

SC, *DC*, *DC*, *SC*, ..., *SC*, *SC*, *SC*, **DC**, *DC*, *SC*, ...

Logs from: April 2018 to June 2018. Average session length: 30. Window size to be 30. Total sequences: 2.7M train : valid : test - 75 : 12.5 : 12.5

A command or an action is a click that gets registered in the log data when a user interacts with the interface of the system.





Identification of Goals

• Analogy: Identification of Identification of Goals Topics

Documents

Topics

Goals

Sessions

Commands

• Bi-term Topic Modeling (BTM)^[1]

• Fixing the number of goals

- Goal Coherence
 - UCI
 - UMass
- Human Evaluation



Goal-Driven Recommender System

- Ensemble models data segregation
- Goal informed models



One model per Goal

Single model



Improve performance of recommender system with help of goal information





Goal-Oriented Loss Function

- Standard cross entropy loss
 - Recommendations aligned with input sequence.
 - Limitation Goal Orientation is not considered.
- Information about goal
 - Probability distribution obtained from BTM output P(dc | goal).
 - Deviation of predicted command distribution with the goal's command distribution - KL Divergence

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{KL}}(\theta) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}(P||Q)$$

• Balanced loss

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \alpha \mathcal{L}_{\rm CE}(\theta) + (1 - \alpha) \mathcal{L}_{\rm KL}(\theta)$$



Goal-Specific Fine-Tuning

• Component Q(goal-command distribution) of the loss function is different for

different goals. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{KL}}(\theta) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}(P||Q)$

- Pre-train (cross-entropy) + Fine-tune (modified loss function).
- Accurate and goal relevant data command recommendations.
- Superior performance for low resource goals.

Evaluation

•	Standard evaluation metric - test accuracy	Model	Accuracy
	• Goal information influences and improves (13% margin).	Top 50 Frequency First-order MM Second-order MM	0.1633 0.2621 0.3210
	• Recommendations aligned with the selected goal ?	CPT+ vanilla (LSTM4REC)	0.3444 0.5875
•	Goal Orientation Measure (GO-Measure)	ensemble First-order MM ensemble Second-order MM	0.3043 0.3429
	• Goal information in the evaluation.	ensemble CPT+ ensemble Vanilla (LSTM4REC)	0.4154 0.6894
	Balanced performance in terms of both accuracy and goal	GCoRe GComm	0.6839 0.6970
	orientation	GAIn	0.7189

$$GO_1(goal) = 2 \cdot \frac{accuracy \cdot \overline{P}(dc|goal)}{(accuracy) + \overline{P}(dc|goal)}$$

Evaluation

 \checkmark

Recommendations should be relevant to the analyst's goal

_

Accuracy	GO ₁ Score	Mode of Goal Input Specification
0.1633	0.1997	
0.2621	0.2251	
0.3210	0.2322	Not Specified
0.3444	0.2542	
0.5875	0.3176	
0.3043	0.2571	
0.3429	0.2679	Implicitly through
0.4154	0.2723	data partition
0.6894	0.3211	
0.6839	0.3984	Encoded
0.6970	0.3959	Encoded
0.7189	0.3933	Representation
	Accuracy 0.1633 0.2621 0.3210 0.3444 0.5875 0.3043 0.3429 0.4154 0.6894 0.6839 0.6970 0.7189	Accuracy GO1 Score 0.1633 0.1997 0.2621 0.2251 0.3210 0.2322 0.3444 0.2542 0.5875 0.3176 0.3043 0.2571 0.3429 0.2679 0.4154 0.2723 0.6894 0.3211 0.6839 0.3984 0.6970 0.3959 0.7189 0.3933



Model	Accuracy	GO_1 Score
Vanilla	0.5563	0.3852
Fine-tuned GCoRe	0.6647	0.4322
Fine-tuned GComm	0.6820	0.4268
Fine-tuned GAIn	0.7010	0.4811

Adversarial Testing

Steer the recommendations whenever the analyst is deviating from the goal

- User might deviate from the specified goal while progressing the session.
- Simulation
 - A model is provided inputs from data distributions different from what it was trained on.
 - A decrease in the accuracy of the models; the

Model	Accuracy	GO_1 Score
ensemble vanilla	0.1525	0.2566
GAIn	0.4919	0.1966
Fine-tuned GAIn	0.2795	0.4823



Concluding...

- 1. Notion of a goal in data analytics software applications.
- Incorporating goal information improves recommending data commands to the user.
- 3. Custom loss function, fine-tuning approach.
- 4. Novel evaluation method GO_1 measure.

In Future...

- 1. Attention mechanisms and transfer learning.
- 2. Predict the user's goal in real time based on the progress of the session.
- 3. Handle the problem of a novice user mis-specifying goal better user

experience.

Thank You

Any Questions?



